

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,618	04/19/2001	Brett T. Haarala	10123/01101	3578
7590 11/14/2006		EXAMINER		
Fay Kaplun &	Marcin, LLP	KEASEL, ERIC S		
150 Broadway				
Suite 702			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10038			3753	
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2000	S

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/838,618	HAARALA ET AL.	
	- Carried Carrinary	Examiner	Art Unit	
····	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Eric Keasel	ith the correspondence address	
Period fo		vears on the cover sheet w	iui uie correspondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 A</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal mat		is
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-42 and 47-60 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 43-46 and 61 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/original contents.	e withdrawn from conside	ration.	
9) 🗀	The specification is objected to by the Examine	: Γ.		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 April 2001</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ obje drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 1-42 and 47-60 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on September 25, 2002.
- 2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoon (US 5,752,970).

Yoon discloses a medical device (20) having an elongate catheter (22) with an external surface and an internal surface defining an internal lumen (Figure 3) and having a compound slit located at a generally hemispherical distal end portion of the catheter and extending from the external surface to the internal surface (unlabelled Figure 2). The slit of Yoon is biased closed

Application/Control Number: 09/838,618

Art Unit: 3753

and would inherently open due to difference in pressure between the lumen and the ambient.

The slit of Yoon is also configured to inherently allow the flaps to flex outward when the internal pressure exceeds the external pressure by a second amount.

5. Claims 43, 44 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eaton (US 3,303,847).

Eaton discloses a medical device having an elongate catheter (5) with an external surface and an internal surface defining an internal lumen and having a compound slit (8, 9) located at a generally hemispherical distal end portion (6) of the catheter and extending from the external surface to the internal surface (see Fig. 4). The slit of Eaton is biased closed and opens due to difference in pressure between the lumen and the ambient. The slit of Eaton is also configured to inherently allow the flaps to flex into the lumen based on a predetermined pressure difference between the pressure ambient pressure and the pressure inside the lumen and to flex outward when the internal pressure exceeds the external pressure by a second amount.

6. Claims 43, 44, 46, and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ferguson (US 2,063,424).

Ferguson discloses a medical device having an elongate catheter with an external surface and an internal surface defining an internal lumen and having a compound slit (3, 4, 5) located at a generally hemispherical distal end portion of the catheter and extending from the external surface to the internal surface (see Fig. 1). The slit of Ferguson is biased closed and opens due to difference in pressure between the lumen and the ambient. The slit of Ferguson is also

Application/Control Number: 09/838,618

Art Unit: 3753

configured to inherently allow the flaps to flex into the lumen based on a predetermined pressure difference between ambient pressure and the pressure inside the lumen and to flex outward when the internal pressure exceeds the external pressure by a second amount.

7. Claims 43, 44, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamauchi (US 2,063,424).

Yamauchi discloses a medical device having an elongate catheter with an external surface and an internal surface defining an internal lumen and having a compound slit (6) located at a generally hemispherical distal end portion of the catheter and extending from the external surface to the internal surface (see Fig. 3). The slit of Yamauchi is biased closed and opens due to difference in pressure between the lumen and the ambient. The slit of Yamauchi is also configured to inherently allow the flaps to flex into the lumen based on a predetermined pressure difference between ambient pressure and the pressure inside the lumen and to flex outward when the internal pressure exceeds the external pressure by a second amount.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Art Unit: 3753

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eaton in view of Engelson et al. (US Patent Number 5,798,018).

Eaton fails to disclose the collar. Engelson et al. disclose a collar (130) used on a similar catheter. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the collar of Engelson et al. with the catheter of Eaton so that the catheter can be radiographed visually as taught by Engelson et al.

10. Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoon in view of Engelson et al. (US Patent Number 5,798,018).

Yoon fails to disclose the collar. Engelson et al. disclose a collar (130) used on a similar catheter. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the collar of Engelson et al. with the catheter of Yoon so that the catheter can be radiographed visually as taught by Engelson et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,618

Art Unit: 3753

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed August 22, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive (except for Yoon as applied to claim 61).

Applicant argues that the device of Yoon does not allow flow from outside the catheter to inside based on a pressure difference. This is persuasive and the rejection of claim 61 is withdrawn. However, claim 43 is broader. The valve flaps open out whether due to a force of a solid object or the force of a differential fluid pressure.

Applicant does not appear to understand the Eaton reference. Applicant cites a section that explicitly states that the container is squeezed to increase the fluid pressure and this increase in fluid pressure internal to the catheter causes the slit valve to open. Eaton's disclosure from 1967 clearly anticipates the overly broad claimed subject matter.

Applicant argues that the device of Ferguson does not meet the dictionary definition of catheter. Ferguson discloses a tubular device that is inserted into a body cavity (mouth) to inject fluids (milk). Applicant argues that babies bite the nipple and do not apply any suction. The examiner disagrees. In fact this is explicitly disclosed in the Yamauchi reference.

Conclusion

12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 3753

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Eric Keasel at telephone number (571) 272-4929, who can normally be reached Monday-Friday. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ERIC KEASEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Eu Hasel